UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Nathaniel Wade Jones	Case No. 1:11 Cr 290
	Defendant	
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Allefendant be detained pending trial.	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Finding	gs of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 a federal offense a state or local offense that w existed – that is	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of ould have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a which the prison term is 10 years or more.	(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death	n or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten y	vears or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been could U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or lo	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ocal offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves	S:
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or de a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2	structive device or any other dangerous weapon 250
(2)		he defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption person or the community. I further find that defendant has	n that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative Fin	dings (A)
√ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	committed an offense
	✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or mo Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	re is prescribed in:*
(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established.	ed by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions
	will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the	e safety of the community.
(4)	Alternative Fin	dings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
√ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	
	Part II – Statement of the R	
evidence defenda problem has a hi and was	find that the testimony and information submitted at the determ a preponderance of the evidence that: ant is a 51-year-old man with little employment history, no real. His criminal history spans 30 years and includes a drug of story of committing new crimes while on parole. As a result on not released on parole. His institutional adjustment in prisonal control on the property of	esidence of his own, and a long-standing substance abuse conviction, crimes of violence, and weapons violations. He t, he served his last prison sentence to the maximum term on was extremely poor. His last release from prison was in

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	October 12, 2011	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville	
		Name and Title:	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge	